

JPT

Fishbone Stimulations

EOR MODELING

**MATURE FIELDS AND
WELL REVITALIZATION**

WELL INTEGRITY

DIGITAL DATA ACQUISITION

FEATURES

Fracturing-Pressure Signals

Innovative Proppant Delivery

Geothermal Well Construction



CONTENTS

Volume 73 • Number 1

12 GUEST EDITORIAL • ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN THE E&P INDUSTRY: WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED SO FAR AND WHERE TO NEXT?

In the spectrum of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies, those adopted to date in the oil and gas industry are task-focused, narrow applications. Taking AI to the next level cannot be done by Silicon Valley alone; digital technology providers will need the deep experience and human know-how the oil and gas industry has cultivated over the years.

20 NEEDLES IN THE PAY STACK: ADNOC EXPLORING TIGHT-OIL ROCK, TRIPLES PRODUCTION IN FIRST PILOT

With only around 30 installations worldwide, ADNOC's onshore team has seen enough results from its first "fishbone" stimulation to embark on a 10-well program that will decide a full development scheme.

23 TEASING MEANING OUT OF A TANGLE OF FRACTURING DATA

An investigation of casing damage led Chesapeake Energy and Well Data Labs to identify patterns in the treating pressure data that are useful markers when trouble is likely.

28 AGAINST THE GRAIN—THREE PROPPANT DELIVERY APPROACHES THAT BUCK THE STATUS QUO

A trio of field studies recently presented at the 2020 SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition highlights in different ways how emerging technology and old-fashioned problem solving are moving the industry needle on proppant and conductivity control.

32 GEOTHERMAL WELL CONSTRUCTION—A STEP CHANGE IN OIL AND GAS TECHNOLOGIES

The cutting-edge technological developments in geothermal energy are devoted to drilling into deeper, hotter, and harder rock. Unlocking its potential will depend on being able to tap into it safely, efficiently, and cost effectively. It is highly likely that the engineers who make it happen will come from the oil and gas industry.

36 CASE STUDY • HOW REAL-TIME EVALUATION OF SLUGGING SEVERITY CAN HELP MAXIMIZE PRODUCTION

Innovative elements of digitalization and automation of workflows provide a new approach for better handling of slugging in subsea developments with long tiebacks, potentially optimizing production and limiting the amount of flaring.

39 CASE STUDY • FLOW-INDUCED VIBRATION ANALYSIS OF TOPSIDE PIPING AT HIGH PRESSURE

A combination of computational-fluid-dynamics and finite-element modeling offers a potentially powerful tool for assessing and diagnosing multiphase-flow-induced vibration problems in hydrocarbon-production piping systems.



To hit a new target of 5 million B/D by 2030, the Abu Dhabi National Oil Company is testing a seldom-used stimulation technology to access tight and thin onshore reservoirs. *Source: Abu Dhabi National Oil Company.*

DEPARTMENTS

- 6 Online Top Picks
- 8 President's Column
- 10 Comments
- 14 Technology Applications
- 18 E&P Notes
- 71 SPE News
- 72 SPE Events



An Official Publication of the Society of Petroleum Engineers.



Published in US. Copyright 2021, Society of Petroleum Engineers.

Artificial Intelligence in the E&P Industry: What Have We Learned So Far and Where to Next?

Manas Pathak and Tonya Cosby, Intel, and Robert K. Perrons, Queensland University of Technology

Artificial intelligence (AI) has captivated the imagination of science-fiction movie audiences for many years and has been used in the upstream oil and gas industry for more than a decade (Mohagheh 2005, 2011). But few industries evolve more quickly than those from Silicon Valley, and it accordingly follows that the technology has grown and changed considerably since this discussion began. The oil and gas industry, therefore, is at a point where it would be prudent to take stock of what has been achieved with AI in the sector, to provide a sober assessment of what has delivered value and what has not among the myriad implementations

made so far, and to figure out how best to leverage this technology in the future in light of these learnings.

When one looks at the long arc of AI in the oil and gas industry, a few important truths emerge. First among these is the fact that not all AI is the same. There is a spectrum of technological sophistication. Hollywood and the media have always been fascinated by the idea of artificial superintelligence and general intelligence systems capable of mimicking the actions and behaviors of real people. Those kinds of systems would have the ability to learn, perceive, understand, and function in human-like ways (Joshi

2019). As alluring as these types of AI are, however, they bear little resemblance to what actually has been delivered to the upstream industry. Instead, we mostly have seen much less ambitious “narrow AI” applications that very capably handle a specific task, such as quickly digesting thousands of pages of historical reports (Kimbleton and Matson 2018), detecting potential failures in progressive cavity pumps (Jacobs 2018), predicting oil and gas exports (Windarto et al. 2017), offering improvements for reservoir models (Mohagheh 2011), or estimating oil-recovery factors (Mahmoud et al. 2019).

But let’s face it: As impressive and commendable as these applications have been, they fall far short of the ambitious vision of highly autonomous systems that are capable of thinking about things outside of the narrow range of tasks explicitly handed to them. What is more, many of these narrow AI applications have tended to be modified versions of fairly generic solutions that were originally designed for other industries and that were then usefully extended to the oil and gas industry with a modest amount of tailoring. In other words, relatively little AI has been occurring in a way that had the oil and gas sector in mind from the outset.

The second important truth is that human judgment still matters. What some technology vendors have referred to as “augmented intelligence” (Kimbleton and Matson 2018), whereby AI supplements human judgment rather than supplants it, is not merely an alternative way of approaching AI; rather, it is coming into focus that this is probably the most sensible way forward for this technology.

The industry’s early forays into AI have confirmed something that experienced



Manas Pathak is the global artificial intelligence (AI) lead for oil and gas at Intel. He holds a PhD degree in chemical engineering from the University of Utah and an integrated master’s in applied geology from the Indian Institute of Technology, Indian School of Mines, Dhanbad. In his PhD research, he used machine learning to optimize oil production. He is an author of multiple journal papers in oil and gas, and a well-accepted speaker at SPE, AAPG, EAGE, and other energy-related conferences. He also serves as a technical reviewer for Elsevier and *Nature*.



Tonya Cosby is Intel’s global enterprise oil and gas account manager. In this role, she articulates Intel’s data-centric strategies to help customers manage the unending growth of data. Her role includes projects/solutions involving cloud computing, Internet of things, blockchain, artificial intelligence, and automated vehicles. She holds a BS degree in business/managerial economics from Oklahoma State University.



Robert K. Perrons, SPE, is a professor at the Queensland University of Technology in Brisbane, Australia. Before joining the university in 2011, he worked in a variety of roles for Shell International’s Exploration and Production division. He started his career in the strategy and economics team, worked for several years as a production engineer in overseas operations (offshore and onshore), and served as the company’s executive coordinator of global R&D. Perrons holds a BEng degree in

mechanical engineering from McMaster University, a master’s degree in technology and policy from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and a PhD degree in engineering from the University of Cambridge, where he was a Gates Cambridge Scholar. He is a member of the United Nations’ Resources and Energy Expert Group and was named as a Fulbright Scholar in 2020. For more information and contact details, please visit www.perrons.net.

engineers and managers in the sector have long understood: Experience counts. Left to their own devices, AI algorithms sometimes get too preoccupied with the wrong relationships within the data, and it is indispensable in those moments to have the benefit of a seasoned veteran to teach the algorithm what is actually important in the physical system. We, therefore, will need to continue to safeguard and actively manage the deep technical expertise within the oil and gas industry's people because that know-how will prove to be a necessary foundation for taking AI to the next level in the sector. Combining the petroleum engineering community's deep understanding of the industry's technical dimensions—for example, the complex physics behind subsurface phenomena—with the predictive power of AI will yield far better results than either physics or pure AI could achieve on their own.

The third emerging truth about AI in the oil and gas industry pertains to where and how the future of the sector will unfold. Evolutionary adjustments from older technological paradigms to newer ones sometimes carry with them pronounced geographical shifts in where industries are physically located. The US car industry is a timely example of this. Detroit has long been the epicenter of American automotive innovation since the time of Henry Ford, but the explosive growth of digital technologies and software in modern automotive designs has made the Motor City's once dominant position as a technology hub in the vehicle industry seem less certain today. Tesla, a company that has played a leading role in the application of next-generation automotive technologies such as electric propulsion systems, batteries, and self-driving capabilities, became the most valuable car company in the world a few months ago (BBC 2020); and its headquarters are tellingly located near Silicon Valley, thousands of miles away from Detroit. As the upstream oil and gas industry becomes increasingly digital in nature, might such a shift be in the cards for this sector, too?

What we have seen from AI's trajectory so far suggests that this kind of conspicuous pivot to Silicon Valley probably

will not happen in the energy industry. There is no denying that, as the oil and gas industry becomes more and more driven by digital innovations, Silicon Valley will continue to play an important role in the sector's future. Some high-profile technology companies have announced that they are backing away from making customized AI tools for the oil and gas industry (Shead 2020), but the know-how behind this technology is sufficiently widespread that this gesture will probably not stop this technology from continuing to take root in the industry. AI will continue to make inroads in the sector and will gradually move beyond the narrow AI applications that have been delivered thus far.

Silicon Valley cannot do this alone, however. As noted earlier, digital companies will need the deep experience and human know-how that the oil and gas industry has cultivated over many years in Houston and other energy technology hubs like it. A few Houston-area companies may be reaching out to work more closely with California-based firms, but, at the same time, Silicon Valley startups are doing the same thing in the opposite direction. These two communities will need each other to take AI to the next level together in the upstream oil and gas industry.

Science-fiction movie enthusiasts and admirers of AI could be forgiven for being less than excited about the progress that has been made throughout the early days of this technology in the oil and gas sector. Several impressive applications and implementations have happened, but clearly nothing like the higher levels of intelligence that Hollywood hinted we would be enjoying by now. However, we have seen this movie before, too. As the futurist Roy Amara once famously observed, "We tend to overestimate the effect of a technology in the short run and underestimate the effect in the long run."

The story of AI in the oil and gas industry has barely begun, and the sector has a long and well-documented history of slowly warming up to new technologies before embracing them enthusiastically. That enthusiastic inflection point has now arrived. We should all brace

ourselves for a very quick increase in the rate and amount of AI-related innovation happening within the industry. **JPT**

References

- BBC (2020). Tesla Overtakes Toyota To Become World's Most Valuable Carmaker. <https://www.bbc.com/news/business-53257933>.
- Jacobs, T. (2018). Shell Picks a Digital Platform To Build Its AI Future Upon. *J Pet Technol*, 70 (12), 43–44. <https://doi.org/10.2118/1218-0043-JPT>.
- Joshi, N. (2019). 7 Types of Artificial Intelligence. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/cognitiveworld/2019/06/19/7-types-of-artificial-intelligence/?sh=41aec1c3233e>.
- Kimbleton, S. and Matson, J. (2018). Guest Editorial: Cognitive Computing: Augmenting Human Intelligence To Improve Oil and Gas Outcomes. *J Pet Technol*, 70 (04), 14–15. <https://doi.org/10.2118/0418-0014-JPT>.
- Mahmoud, A.A. and Elkhatny, S., et al. (2019). Estimation of Oil Recovery Factor for Water Drive Sandy Reservoirs Through Applications of Artificial Intelligence. *Energies*, 12 (19), 3671. <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/12/19/3671/>.
- Mohaghegh, S.D. (2005). Recent Developments in Application of Artificial Intelligence in Petroleum Engineering. *J Pet Technol*, 57 (04), 86–91. <https://doi.org/10.2118/89033-JPT>.
- Mohaghegh, S.D. (2011). Reservoir Simulation and Modeling Based on Artificial Intelligence and Data Mining (AI&DM). *J Nat Gas Eng*, 3 (6), 697–705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2011.08.003>.
- Shead, S. (2020). Google Plans to Stop Making AI Tools for Oil and Gas Firms. <https://www.cnbc.com/2020/05/20/google-ai-greenpeace-oil-gas.html>.
- Windarto, A.P. and Dewi, L.S. et al. (2017). Implementation of Artificial Intelligence in Predicting the Value of Indonesian Oil and Gas Exports With BP Algorithm. *Int J Recent Trends Eng Res*, 3 (10), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.23883/IJRTER.2017.3484.J5BBS>.